

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/783,715	02/19/2004	Robert Staggs	026595-004800US	5593
20359 7550 10012008 TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			EXAMINER	
			ORTIZ, BELIX M	
EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2164	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/783,715 STAGGS, ROBERT Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit BELIX M. ORTIZ 2164 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 September 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-11.18-24 and 26-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11, 18-24, 26-28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/783,715 Page 2

Art Unit: 2164

DETAILED ACTION

Remarks

 In response to communications files on 9-September-2008, claims 1, 4, 18 and 24 are amended per applicant's request. Therefore, claims 1-11, 18-24, and 26-28 are presently pending in the application.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/9/2008 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
 - 4. Claims 1-7, 18, 20, 22-24, and 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) (Eff. Filing

Art Unit: 2164

date of application 2/19/2004) as being unpatentable over <u>Schmonsees</u> (U.S. patent 5,842,221) (Eff. filing date of application 2/19/1997) in view of <u>Busey et al.</u> (U.S. patent 6,377,944) (Eff. Filing date of application: 12/11/1998) (hereinafter Busey).

As to claim 1, <u>Schmonsees</u> teaches a computer-implemented method comprising:

receiving a request from a user to access a frequently asked questions (FAQ) page in

order to obtain information, wherein the FAQ page provides at least one question with an answer

corresponding to the question (see abstract and column 1, lines 4-7).

<u>Schmonsees</u> does not expressly teaches retrieving account data for the user, wherein the account data is associated with personal data related to the user; and

selecting a set of questions to display to the user based on the account data so that the set of question and the corresponding answers are personal to the user based on the personal data of the user, rather than based solely on topics selected by the user.

Busey teaches web response unit including computer network based communication (see abstract), in which he teaches retrieving account data for the user, wherein the account data is associated with personal data related to the user (see col. 13, lines 52-56); and

selecting a set of questions to display to the user based on the account data so that the set of question and the corresponding answers are personal to the user based on the personal data of the user, rather than based solely on topics selected by the user (see col. 13, lines 50-63).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Schmonsees</u> by the teaching of <u>Busey</u> because retrieving account data for the user, wherein the account data is associated with personal data related to the

Art Unit: 2164

user; and selecting a set of questions to display to the user based on the account data so that the set of question and the corresponding answers are personal to the user based on the personal data of the user, rather than based solely on topics selected by the user, would enable the method to show to the right user the account that belong to that user and the method facilitate the search to the user providing information in view of the user personal data.

As to claim 2, <u>Schmonsees</u> as modified teaches the method further comprising formatting a set of personalized answers to the set of questions using the account data (see <u>Schmonsees</u>, column 3, lines 56-58).

As to claim 3, <u>Schmonsees</u> as modified teaches wherein formatting a set of personalized answers comprises selecting a first answer for a first question from a set of answers for the first question (see <u>Schmonsees</u>, claim 1 and column 3, lines 56-58).

As to claim 4, <u>Schmonsees</u> as modified teaches wherein selecting a first answer comprises determining when a condition for the first answer is satisfied (see <u>Schmonsees</u>, claim 1).

As to claim 5, <u>Schmonsees</u> as modified teaches the method further comprising displaying the set of questions and the set of personalized answers to the user (see <u>Schmonsees</u>, column 2, lines 29-40 and column 5, lines 27-32).

Art Unit: 2164

As to claim 6, Schmonsees as modified teaches the method further comprising:

before displaying the questions, determining an order for the set of questions using the user data (see Schmonsees, column 4, lines 35-43 and column 5, lines 8-15); and

wherein displaying the set of questions comprises displaying the set of questions in the determined order (see Schmonsees, figure 6).

As to claim 7, <u>Schmonsees</u> as modified teaches wherein formatting a set of personalized answers comprises formatting at least one question to display information specific to the user by using the user account data (see <u>Schmonsees</u>, claim 1; column 3, lines 56-58; and column 4, lines 35-43).

As to claim 18, <u>Schmonsees</u> teaches a computer-implemented method comprising:

receiving a request from a user to access a frequently asked questions (FAQ) page about a loan acceleration program, wherein the FAQ page provides at least one question with an answer corresponding to the question (see abstract and column 1, lines 4-7);

retrieving account data for the user, the account data including a type of repayment schedule for the loan acceleration program (see column 3, lines 50-55 and column 5, lines 8-19); and

selecting a first question to display to the user based on type of repayment schedule (see claim 1).

Art Unit: 2164

<u>Schmonsees</u> does not expressly teach selecting at least one additional question to display to the user using the account data.

<u>Busey</u> teaches web response unit including computer network based communication (see abstract), in which he teaches selecting at least one additional question to display to the user using the account data (see fig. 3, character 310).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Schmonsees</u> by the teaching of <u>Busey</u> because selecting at least one additional question to display to the user using the account data, would enable the method to show to the right user the account that belong to that user and the method facilitate the search to the user providing information in view of the user preferences.

As to claim 20, <u>Schmonsees</u> as modified teaches the method further comprising formatting an answer to one of the questions using the account data (see <u>Schmonsees</u>, column 3, lines 56-58).

As claim 22, <u>Schmonsees</u> as modified teaches the method further comprising determining that the account data indicates a recent change to the account; and selecting a second question related to the change to display to the user (see <u>Schmonsees</u>, claim1; col.2, line s29-40; col. 4, lines 35-43;; col. 5, lines 27-32)

Art Unit: 2164

As claim 23, <u>Schmonsees</u> as modified teaches the method further comprising ordering the second question to be displayed before the first question and the additional question (see Schmonsees, col. 4, line 35-43 and col. 5, lines 8-15).

As to claim 24, <u>Schmonsees</u> teaches a system for providing a frequently asked questions (FAQ) page wherein the FAQ page provides at least one question with an answer corresponding to the question (see abstract and column 1, lines 4-7), comprising:

a first set of data containing a plurality of questions (see column 5, lines 12-13);

a third set of data containing a plurality of answer, wherein each of the answers is associated with at least one of the questions and each of the questions is associated with one or more answers (see figure 6; claim 1; column 2, lines 29-39; column 5, lines 8-19; and col. 4, lines 2-5);

logic, communicatively coupled to the first set of data and the second set of data, the logic to receive a request from a user to access the frequently asked questions (FAQ) page, to retrieve from the second set of data the account data for the user, and to select a group of questions from the first set of data and associated answers from the third set of data to display to the user based on the account data for the user so that the group of questions are personal to the user based on the personal data of the user (see column 5, lines 8-19).

<u>Schmonsees</u> does not expressly teaches a second set of data containing account data for a plurality of users, wherein the account data is associated with personal data related to the user, but.

Art Unit: 2164

Busey teaches web response unit including computer network based communication (see abstract), in which he teaches a second set of data containing account data for a plurality of users, wherein the account data is associated with personal data related to the user (see col. 13, lines 52-56).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Schmonsees</u> by the teaching of <u>Olschafskie</u> because a second set of data containing account data for a plurality of users, wherein the account data is associated with personal data related to the user, would enable the method to show to the right user the account that belong to that user and the method facilitate the search to the user providing information in view of the user preferences.

As to claim 26, <u>Schmonsees</u> as modified teaches wherein the logic selects an answer to one of the group questions, based on the account data for the user, from a plurality of answers contained in the third set associated with the group question (see <u>Schmonsees</u>, figure 6).

As to claim 27, <u>Schmonsees</u> as modified teaches wherein the logic formats an answer to one of the group questions by inserting data obtained from the account data for the user into the answer (see <u>Schmonsees</u>, claim1; column 3, lines 56-58 and column 4, lines 35-43).

As to claim 28, <u>Schmonsees</u> as modified teaches the system further comprising a display mechanism to display the group of questions (see <u>Schmonsees</u>, column 5, lines 12-15).

Art Unit: 2164

5. Claims 8-11 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) (Eff. Filing date of application 2/19/2004) as being unpatentable by Schmonsees (U.S. patent 5,842,221) (Eff. filing date of application 2/19/1997) in view of Busey et al. (U.S. patent 6,377,944) (Eff. Filing date of application: 12/11/1998) (hereinafter Busey), as applied to claims 1-7, 18, 20, 22-24, and 26-28 above, and further in view of Namba (U.S. Pub. 2003/0018629) (Eff. Filing date of application 1/31/2002).

As to claim 8, <u>Schmonsees</u> teaches wherein determining the set of questions comprises: evaluating a condition for a first question (see claim 1).

Schmonsees does not teach when the condition is satisfied, selecting the first question.

Namba teaches document clustering device, document searching system, and FAQ preparing system (see abstract), in which he teaches when the condition is satisfied, selecting the first question (see abstract).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Schmonsees</u> by the teaching of <u>Namba</u> because when the condition is satisfied, selecting the first question, would enable the method to continue with the next step if the user past the condition, this make the method more secure.

As to claims 9, 10, 11, <u>Schmonsees</u> as modified teaches wherein the FAQ page have condition (see Namba, abstract and paragraph 36).

Art Unit: 2164

As to claim 19, Schmonsees teaches the method further comprising:

selecting an answer for one of the questions from a set of answers based on the determining (see figure 6).

<u>Schmonsees</u> does not teach determining that the account data indicates the user is eligible for a service.

Namba teaches document clustering device, document searching system, and FAQ preparing system (see abstract), in which he teaches determining that the account data indicates the user is eligible for a service (see abstract).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Schmonsees</u> by the teaching of <u>Namba</u> because determining that the account data indicates the user is eligible for a service, would enable the method to continue with the next step if the user past the condition, this make the method more secure.

6. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) (Eff. Filing date of application 2/19/2004) as being unpatentable by <u>Schmonsees (U.S. patent 5,842,221)</u> (Eff. filing date of application 2/19/1997) in view of <u>Busey et al.</u> (U.S. patent 6,377,944) (Eff. Filing date of application: 12/11/1998) (hereinafter Busey), as applied to claims 1-7, 18, 20, 22-24, and 26-28 above, and further in view of <u>Lee et al.</u> (U.S. Pub. 2003/0200118) (Eff. Filing date of application 4/18/2003).

Art Unit: 2164

As to claim 21, <u>Schmonsees</u> does not teach wherein formatting an answer comprises inserting a payment amount paid by the user into the answer.

Lee et al. teaches system and method for payment of medical claims (see abstract), in which he teaches wherein formatting an answer comprises inserting a payment amount paid by the user into the answer (see abstract and paragraph 3).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Schmonsees</u> by the teaching of <u>Lee at al.</u>, because wherein formatting an answer comprises inserting a payment amount paid by the user into the answer, would enable the method to add more information to the answer in view of the information stored on the user account.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Belix M. Ortiz whose telephone number is 571-272-4081. The examiner can normally be reached on moday-friday 9am-5pm.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2164

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

bmo

September 24, 2008

/Charles Rones/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2164